



## TES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** 187526 EFRUNDY 03/18/98 09/040.911

IM22/0519

PAUL D. GREELEY, ESQ. OHLANDI, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, LLP ONE LANDMARK SQUARE, NINTH FLOOR STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682

**EXAMINER** MEDLEY, M PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1721

DATE MAILED: 05/19/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

1- File Copy PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

,	Application No. 09/040, 9//	Applicant(s)  GRUND Yeta  Group Art Unit
Office Action Summary	Examiner MEVLEY	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	,	
Period for Response	Jh A	£
Period for Response A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) day</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by definition of the period within the set or extended period for response wit</li></ul>	ys, a response within the statu	tory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
Status 21,01	44	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/11/	1 1	·
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		
Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19	pt for formal matters, <b>pro</b> 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 2	secution as to the ments is closed in [3].
Disposition of Claims  Claim(s)		is/are pending in the application.
X Claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are allowed.
Claim(s)		is/are rejected.
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
Claim(s)		are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers		iequiroment.
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approve	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examine	r.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9 s of the priority document	(a)-(d). s have been
* received		
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nureceived in this national stage application from the	e International Bureau (PC	,   Hule   7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:		
Attachment(s)		DTO 440
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap	oer No(s)	Interview Summary, PTO-413
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-15
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	O-948	Other
	Office Action Summary	

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev 3-97)

Application/Control Number: 09/040,911

Art Unit: 1721

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-17 for reasons made of record in Paper No. 5 dated December 18, 1998 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 94/20593 or WO 96/23855 or Hart et al (5,833,721).

Applicant's arguments filed March 17, 1999 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' arguments have be n reviewed and considered but arguments unsupported by factual evidence do not t ake the place of objective evidence of unobviousness. Applicants have

Application/Control Number: 09/040,911 Page 3

Art Unit: 1721

not drafted the claims in a manner to be within the scope argumented and supported by the experimental data of record relied on showing the results presented therein. The claims as drafted do not exclude the teachings of WO 9/20593 or WO 96/23855 or Hart et al (5,833,721).

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Margaret B. Medley at telephone number (703) 308-2518.

PHINARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1100

Margaret B. Medley/om May 17, 1999